

Record of proceedings dated 21.11.2022

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 49 of 2022	M/s. Vena Energy Solar India Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent for payment of dues along with late payment surcharge duly complying with the provisions of PPA of the project situated at Sadasivpet (V), Medak District

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify

and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 50 of 2022	M/s. Vena Energy Solar India Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent for payment of dues along with late payment surcharge duly complying with the provisions of PPA of the project situated at Minpur (V), Medak District

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that

though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 52 of 2022 & I. A. No. 42 of 2022	M/s. Ujjvalatejas Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordanced with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to USUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri. P. S. S. Bhargava, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 53 of 2022 & I. A. No. 43 of 2022	M/s. Suprasanna Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to SSUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri. P. S. S. Bhargava, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 54 of 2022 & I. A. No. 44 of 2022	M/s. Nirjara Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to NSUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri. P. S. S. Bhargava, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one

month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 59 of 2022 & I. A. No. 49 of 2022	M/s. Achampet Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to ASPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri. T. G. Rejesh Kumar, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the

licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 60 of 2022 & I. A. No. 48 of 2022	M/s. Padmajiwadi Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to PSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri. T. G. Rejesh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. T The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21

days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted

solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 61 of 2022 & I. A. No. 46 of 2022	M/s. Ghanpur Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to GSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri. T. G. Rejesh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 62 of 2022 & I. A. No. 50 of 2022	M/s. Thukkapur Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to TSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri. T. G. Rejesh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the

Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 63 of 2022 & I. A. No. 51 of 2022	M/s. Renjal Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to RSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri. T. G. Rejesh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to

concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 64 of 2022 & I. A. No. 47 of 2022	M/s. Gummadidala Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to GSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri. T. G. Rejesh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the

Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 65 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (10 MW Mustyal plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to

calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 66 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (5 MW Achampet plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for

granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 67 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (10 MW Pedda Shankarampeta plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are

about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 68 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (10 MW Kalwakurthy plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the Commission had specifically recorded in the proceedings on the earlier date of hearing that the action required to be taken by the respondent in the matter on payment of amounts duly identifying the same. However, no action including the filing of any information has come forth from the respondent, even after lapse of 21 days. In fact, the Commission had already considered the issues and disposed of several similar cases and what remains to be examined, is with reference to the amounts due on different heads. The representative of the respondent stated that though Commission required furnishing of details of the payments as contemplated in the matter, however, the issue is not merely of the petitioner alone, but there are about 200 generators in whose cases, the exercise has to be undertaken. As such, the matter has been entrusted to a group of auditors, who have been tasked to calculate the amounts in respect of all the generators and it will take a period of one month. This work is entrusted to the auditors as the company staff are not able to concentrate on the matter and they are also busy with several topics including the litigation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their service issues. He needs atleast one month to complete the exercise and report the same to the Commission. He also emphasized that the payment of amounts relates to not only one or two months, but the period to be considered is about 5 years in many cases.

The counsel for petitioner vehemently opposed the proposal made by the representative of the respondent and stated that the Commission may consider reserving the matter and give liberty to the respondent to file the required information before it within a period of one week or ten days as may be appropriately considered. The Commission is concerned about the inaction on the part of the licensee and observed that what all the petitioner required them to do is to identify and intimate the amount that will be paid towards principal and late payment

surcharge, which has not been done by the licensee. As such, there is no case for granting further time, however, keeping in view the magnanimity of the issue, the Commission is inclined to grant time for filing the required information. At this juncture, the counsel for petitioner stated that the required information may be filed at the earliest within a period of fifteen days and thereafter give time for corroborating the same.

The Commission considering the submissions has fixed the time period for filing the information as sought by it to be filed on or before 15.12.2022 with a copy to the counsel for petitioner and thereafter, the Commission will hear the parties on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that if no information is filed, the Commission will proceed to hear the matter on merits. The time is being granted solely to enable effective resolution of the issue. It is emphasized that the licensee shall atleast furnish information in the case without fail as stipulated above. Keeping in view the above situation, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 43 of 2022	M/s. Pemmasani Solar Power Private Limited	TSSPDCL alongwith its officer & TPCC

Petition filed seeking payments of interest due along with late payment charges on such amount due in respect of 10 MW project near 132 / 33 KV Makthal substation in Mahabubnagar district.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The representative of the respondent stated that necessary statement towards amount due is already filed. There is no response to the said statement from the petitioner. Considering that the matters on payment aspect have been adjourned, this matter is also adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 51 of 2022 & I. A. No. 41 of 2022	M/s. Pemmasani Solar Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL & its CGM

Petition filed seeking directions for payment of amount deducted towards auxiliary consumption in the monthly bills paid towards power supplied along with interest apart from exemption for not maintaining power factor.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondents to pay power supply bills of the petitioner without adjusting or deducting any amount towards excess auxiliary consumption or variation in the power factor pending disposal of the main original petition.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The representative of the respondents stated that the pleadings in the matter are complete, however, as the counsel for the petitioner is not present, the matter may be adjourned for making submissions. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 72 of 2022	M/s. Sunshakti Solar Power Projects Private Limited	TSNPDCCL & its officer

Petition filed seeking extension of SCOD and consequential reliefs.

Ms. Meghana Sarma, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. There was no representation at the time when the matter was called. However, the advocate representing the counsel for petition has turned up after conclusion of the hearing. Since the pleadings are not complete, the Commission has already adjourned the matter and the same was informed to the advocate by the office. In view of the position obtained, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 47 of 2022	M/s. J. K. Fenner (India) Limited	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to grant open access and credit the energy already injected into the grid towards captive consumption.

Sri. P. Srinivasa Rao, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition involves grant of open access for captive consumption. The project was conceived for 5 MW, however, it had been established to the extent of 2.5 MW. The petitioner has sought to utilise the said capacity for captive consumption only and therefore, sought open access for transmitting the energy to its unit. The project was synchronized by the licensee in the year 2018 itself, but open access was not granted till date. In the year 2020, a letter had been addressed by the licensee imposing certain conditions for considering open access. The conditions imposed as enumerated would entail causing burden and also would amount to causing injury to the project. The petitioner has been generating power and injecting the same into the grid. Now one of the conditions for granting open access is that the petitioner is sought to be restrained from claiming any amount towards the power injected into the grid by treating it as infirm power. This is opposed to the principles laid down in section 23 of the Indian Contract Act, which speaks of void contract. The actions of the licensee constitute one part of the contract though not written down and the agreement so treated is not a void contract. But any conditions which cause injury to the other party would constitute violation of the provision of the Contract Act.

The counsel for petitioner has stated and highlighted the fact that the Commission had occasion to hear similar matters as was brought to his notice. Substantially similar argument rests in this matter also and therefore is not reiterating the same. Also, reference has been made to section 70 of the Contract Act, but this case would not suffice with the said section, therefore, section 23 is also referred to. The Government of India as well as this Commission had followed the principles with reference to captive generation under section 9 of the Act, 2003 and also benevolent in ensuring the implementation of section 42 of the Act, 2003. Under section 9 the policy notified by Gol had specific condition that the shareholding in the captive unit should be more than 26% and such consumer should consume more than 51% of the captive generation.

In the particular case the counsel for petitioner would seek to demonstrate that the petitioner's unit is meant for 100% captive utilization by its manufacturing unit. The purpose of encouraging captive generation is to make available reliable power and also provide employment. Denying open access would amount to denying both these aspects. Even the Hon'ble Supreme Court had quoted with the approval the captive power policy in its recent judgment. The petitioner is seeking to utilize the generation for its own use and therefore seeking open access, which has been refused and the same is uncalled for.

The counsel for petitioner would emphasize that having synchronized the project and drawn the energy injected by the petitioner into the grid, the licensee cannot advert to the said power as infirm power. It has already consumed the said power by selling the same to its consumers and realised the tariff. As such, it cannot be allowed to take benefit of the energy injected into the grid. The Commission had already in the year 2017 notified the regulation relating to banking by amending the original regulation of 2006 duly providing for banking of energy from a project seeking open access or else to procure such energy by the licensee at pooled cost, where the energy is injected before grant of such open access. The actions of the licensee now appear to be one of getting unjustly enriched.

The counsel for petitioner also stated that the respondent has contended in the counter affidavit that it is studying the feasibility aspect of granting open access and making the petitioner wait for four years. It has been repeatedly taking similar stand in several cases and in one case in the year 2020, this Commission had already repelled such a contention, which was argued by the counsel for petitioner. This aspect fell for consideration before the Hon'ble High Court, which had refused to accept the contention and rejected the appeal filed by the licensee as was held in Writ Appeal No. 80 of 2019. The other respondent being TSTRANCO has simply stated that its actions are dependent on the feasibility report given by the licensee and it has no case against the petitioner. Therefore, the petition may be allowed as prayed for.

The representative of the respondents stated that the petitioner had never come forward with the required material as was intimated to it, therefore, the respondent could not send its recommendations in the matter. The regulation cited

by the petitioner would not be of no avail unless and until an agreement is signed between the parties. As at present, no agreement subsists between the parties, therefore, the petitioner cannot be given any benefit of the regulation. Also, the contention that section 23 of the Contract Act is applicable, cannot be appreciated. The regulation relied by the petitioner itself provides that unless agreement is entered into, the petitioner cannot claim the benefit of amendment regulation of 2017. The petitioner ought to have complied with the regulations for obtaining open access, but it has not chosen to do. No relief can be granted to the petitioner at this stage unless the licensee conveys its feasibility to the SLDC.

The counsel for petitioner stated that for a capacity of 2.5 MW the licensee is making the petition to run around. The said capacity would not make any dent on the grid in case of a higher capacity of 100 MW. The contentions of the licensee would be reasonable but not in this case. The representative of the licensee stated that there are several projects of this capacity which would add up to make a dent on the grid. Therefore, the licensee has to examine each and every project and its feasibility for grant open access. The Commission may consider in the context of the grid stability and efficient utilization. The counsel for petitioner pleaded for early resolution of the issue as the petitioner is not able to have the benefit of captive power plant for the last four years. The Commission have noted the rival contentions and also noticed the judgment referred by the counsel for petitioner. Having heard the submissions of the parties, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 57 of 2022 & I. A. No. 52 of 2022	M/s. Surajkiran Renewable Resources Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking extension of SCOD and consequential reliefs.

I. A. filed seeking amendment of petition.

Sri. Khamar Kiran Kantamneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the pleadings in the matter are complete, however, the senior counsel appearing in the matter is not available and therefore, he requests further time for arguments. The

representative of the respondent has no objection. Considering the request of the parties, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 58 of 2022 & I. A. No. 45 of 2022	M/s. Sneha Renewable Energies Ltd.	Spl. Chief Secretary, Energy Dept., TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to enter into PPA by fixing tariff at Rs. 5/- per unit.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondents to purchase power from the petitioner on payment of average pooled purchase costs till the disposal of the petition.

Ms. P. Lakshmi, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that though efforts have been made to arrive at a solution, the officers of the licensee have not yet conveyed their views in the matter. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter may be adjourned by a month as the officers concerned are involved in the proceedings on service matters before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and they would take steps shortly to mitigate the grievance and report developments on the next date of hearing. The Commission required effective steps to be taken in the matter and also report the same to the Commission by 15.12.2022. The Commission will hear the matter finally on the next date of hearing. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 73 of 2022 & I. A. No. 56 of 2022 & I. A. No. 57 of 2022	M/s. Hyderabad MSW Energy Solutions Primate Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent in respect of billing under PPA and reimbursement of the excess deduction made towards import charges.

I. A. filed seeking ex parte ad-interim direction restraining the respondent No. 1 from applying threshold PLF mentioned in the tariff order, deducting any additional amounts towards import charges under the PPA and also directing R-1 to make an upfront payment of 50% of the principal amount.

I. A. filed seeking the petition filed by the petitioner on urgent basis.

Sri. Matrugupta Mishra, counsel for petitioner along with Ms. Ishita Thakur, Advocate and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and the counter affidavit has to be filed in the matter. The representative of the respondent sought time of one month to file counter affidavit. Considering the request of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 12.01.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 102 of 2022 & I. A. (SR) No. 103 of 2022	TSSPDCL	- None -

Petition filed seeking approval of business plan for FY 2023-24 of the 4th control period FYs 2019-24.

I. A. filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the main petition.

Sri. S. Swamy Reddy, Director / IPC & RAC and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for petitioner are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the petitioner is filing the present petition for approval of business plan for FY 2023-24 of the 4th control period of FYs. 2019-24. The petition is not taken on record as there is a delay in filing the petition and an application for condoning the delay is also filed. The Commission noticed that as per the regulations applicable and guidelines, the petitioner has to file (a) shall plan for a period of two control periods and (b) shall submit detailed distribution plans for the control period under consideration for tariff review listing out proposed schemes and an indicative overall investment plan for the subsequent control period. In any case, the petitioner has to file the proposals in a

few months time, therefore entertaining this petition and initiating proceedings is a futile exercise. Accordingly, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Record of proceedings dated 21.11.2022

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 104 of 2022 & I. A. (SR) No. 105 of 2022	TSNPDCL	- None -

Petition filed seeking approval of business plan for FY 2023-24 of the 4th control period FYS 2019-24.

I. A. filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the main petition.

Sri. T. Madhusudhan, CGM / IPC & RAC and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for petitioner are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the petitioner is filing the present petition for approval of business plan for FY 2023-24 of the 4th control period of FYs. 2019-24. The petition is not taken on record as there is a delay in filing the petition and an application for condoning the delay is also filed. The Commission noticed that as per the regulations applicable and guidelines, the petitioner has to file (a) shall plan for a period of two control periods and (b) shall submit detailed distribution plans for the control period under consideration for tariff review listing out proposed schemes and an indicative overall investment plan for the subsequent control period. In any case, the petitioner has to file the proposals in a few months time, therefore entertaining this petition and initiating proceedings is a futile exercise. Accordingly, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Mentioning made by Sri. Matrugupta Mishra, Advocate

The counsel for M/s. Hyderabad MSW Energy Solutions Private Limited has stated that a petition has been filed before the Commission under section 86 (1) (b) of the Act, 2003 seeking consent for amendment agreement. The petition arose for the reason that the said company as a part of its expansion of the project has entered into an amendment agreement for enhancing the capacity by another 24 MW on 10.10.2022. The petition filed by the company had been returned stating that the Commission is required to look into the petition filed by the licensee only on the

subject matter and not by this company. Advice may be rendered for early filing of the same as the licensee is not taking steps in the matter. The consent may be considered from the date of agreement itself and not otherwise.

Also, the Commission reserved orders in two matters in September, 2022 and the petitioner therein is awaiting the same.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman